OMB Approval Number: 2050-0095 Approved for Use Through: 4/95



Site Name: HAMERSLEY MANUFACTURING CO. LANDFIL

CERCLIS ID No.:

Street Address: MAIN AVENUE

City/State/Zip: WALLINGTON, NJ 07057

Investigator: NICK SODANO Agency/Organization: NJDEP/BEMQA

Street Address: 300 HORIZON CENTER, PO BOX 407

City/State: TRENTON, NJ

Date: 10/1/98

# Page: HAMERSLEY MANUFACTURING CO. LANDFIL - 10/01/98

WASTE CHARACTERISTICS

Waste Characteristics (WC) Calculations:

1 HAMERSLEY LANDFILL Landfill

WQ value maximum

Area

7.00E+00 acres

8.97E+01 8.97E+01

Ground Water Pathway Criteria List Suspected Release	
Are sources poorly contained? (y/n/u)	Y
Is the source a type likely to contribute to ground water contamination (e.g., wet lagoon)? $(y/n/u)$	Y
Is waste quantity particularly large? (y/n/u)	Y
Is precipitation heavy? (y/n/u)	N
Is the infiltration rate high? $(y/n/u)$	Y
Is the site located in an area of karst terrain? (y/n)	N
Is the subsurface highly permeable or conductive? (y/n/u)	Y
Is drinking water drawn from a shallow aquifer? (y/n/u)	N
Are suspected contaminants highly mobile in ground water? (y/n/u)	Y
Does analytical or circumstantial evidence suggest ground water contamination? (y/n/u)	Y
Other criteria? (y/n) N	
SUSPECTED RELEASE? (y/n)	Y
Commencies the notionals for Commented Dalesco.	

### Summarize the rationale for Suspected Release:

WASTES AND WASTEWATERS DISCHARGED TO LAGOON FOR DECADES. MUNICIPAL WELL IN DOWNGRADIENT POSITION HAS BEEN CONTAMINATED.

middle middletollid oo simble 20/02/30	
Ground Water Pathway Criteria List Primary Targets	
Is any drinking water well nearby? (y/n/u)	Y
Has any nearby drinking water well been closed? (y/n/u)	Y
Has any nearby drinking water well user reported foul-testing or foul-smelling water? (y/n/u)	N
Does any nearby well have a large drawdown/high production rate? (y/n/u)	Y
Is any drinking water well located between the site and other wells that are suspected to be exposed to a hazardous substance? (y/n/u)	Y
Does analytical or circumstantial evidence suggest contamination at a drinking water well? (y/n/u)	Y
Does any drinking water well warrant sampling? (y/n/u)	Y
Other criteria? (y/n) N	
PRIMARY TARGET(S) IDENTIFIED? (y/n)	Y
Summarize the rationale for Primary Targets:	
THE WALLINGTON BOROUGH MUNICIPAL WELL HAS BEEN CLOSED DUE TO SOLVENT CONTAMINATION	

#### GROUND WATER PATHWAY SCORESHEETS

GROUND WATER PATE	IWAI SCORESHEE.	15		
athway Characteristics				Ref.
Do you suspect a release? (y/n)	)	Υe	es	
Is the site located in karst to	errain? (y/n)	No	)	
Depth to aquifer (feet):		35	5	
Distance to the nearest drinking	ng water well	(feet): 15	500	
LIKELIHOOD OF RELEASE	Suspected Release	No Suspected Release	Refe	cences
1. SUSPECTED RELEASE	550			
2. NO SUSPECTED RELEASE	CTED RELEASE 0			
LR =	LR = 550 0			
Targets				
TARGETS	Suspected Release	No Suspected Release	Refer	rences
3. PRIMARY TARGET POPULATION 11500 person(s)	115000			

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
TARGETS	Suspected Release	No Suspected Release	References
3. PRIMARY TARGET POPULATION 11500 person(s)	115000		
4. SECONDARY TARGET POPULATION Are any wells part of a blended system? (y/n) N	0	0	
5. NEAREST WELL	50	0	
6. WELLHEAD PROTECTION AREA None within 4 Miles	0	0	
7. RESOURCES	5	0	
Т =	115055	0	

WASTE CHARACTERISTICS

WC = 32 0

GROUND WATER PATHWAY SCORE: 100

Page: 5

### Ground Water Target Populations

Primary Target Population Drinking Water Well ID	Dist. (miles)	Population Served	Reference	Value
1 MAIN AVENUE WELL	0.30	11500		115000
,				
·				·
*** Note: Maximum of 5 Wel	ls Are Pı	rinted ***	Total	115000

Secondary Target Population Distance Categories	Population Served	Reference	Value
0 to 1/4 mile	0		0
Greater than 1/4 to 1/2 mile	0		0
Greater than 1/2 to 1 mile	0		0
Greater than 1 to 2 miles	0		0
Greater than 2 to 3 miles	0		0
Greater than 3 to 4 miles	0		0
		Total	0

Apportionment	Documentation	for a	Blended	System
	•			

Surface Water Pathway Criteria List Suspected Release	
Is surface water nearby? (y/n/u)	Y
Is waste quantity particularly large? (y/n/u)	Y
Is the drainage area large? (y/n/u)	Y
Is rainfall heavy? (y/n/u)	N
Is the infiltration rate low? (y/n/u)	N
Are sources poorly contained or prone to runoff or flooding? (y/n/u)	Y
Is a runoff route well defined(e.g.ditch/channel to surf.water)? (y/n/u)	Y
Is vegetation stressed along the probable runoff path? (y/n/u)	N
Are sediments or water unnaturally discolored? (y/n/u)	N
Is wildlife unnaturally absent? (y/n/u)	N
Has deposition of waste into surface water been observed? (y/n/u)	Y
Is ground water discharge to surface water likely? (y/n/u)	N
Does analytical/circumstantial evidence suggest S.W. contam? (y/n/u)	Y
Other criteria? (y/n) N	
SUSPECTED RELEASE? (y/n)	Y
Summarize the rationale for Suspected Release:	
THE LANDFILL ABUTTS THE SADDLE RIVER AND IT IS SUSPECTED THAT SPILLAGE AND DRAINAGE FROM THE LANDFILL TO THE RIVER HAS OCCURED.	•

Surface Water Pathway Criteria List Primary Targets	
Is any target nearby? (y/n/u) If yes:  N Drinking water intake Y Fishery Y Sensitive environment	Y
Has any intake, fishery, or recreational area been closed? (y/n/u)	N
Does analytical or circumstantial evidence suggest surface water contamination at or downstream of a target? (y/n/u)	Y
Does any target warrant sampling? (y/n/u) If yes: N Drinking water intake N Fishery Y Sensitive environment	Y
Other criteria? (y/n) N	
PRIMARY INTAKE(S) IDENTIFIED? (y/n)  Summarize the rationale for Primary Intakes:	N
	٠
continued	

# PA-Score 2.1 Scoresheets ParamersLey Manufacturing CO. Landfil - 10/01/98

continued	
Other criteria? (y/n)	N ·
	PRIMARY FISHERY(IES) IDENTIFIED? (y/n) N
Summarize the rationale for	Primary Fisheries:
•	
Other criteria? (y/n)	N
	NSITIVE ENVIRONMENT(S) IDENTIFIED? (y/n) Y
	Primary Sensitive Environments:
•	ADDLE RIVER WITHIN 1000 FEET OF PPE
A WEILAND EXISTS ON THE S	ADDEE RIVER WITHIN 1000 FEET OF FFE

Page: 10

### SURFACE WATER PATHWAY SCORESHEETS

Pathway Characteristics				
Do you suspect a release? (y/n) Yes				
Distance to surface water (feet	t):	0		
Flood frequency (years):		10	00	
What is the downstream distance (miles) to:  a. the nearest drinking water intake?  b. the nearest fishery?  c. the nearest sensitive environment?  0.2				
Suspected No Suspected LIKELIHOOD OF RELEASE Release Release Reference				
1. SUSPECTED RELEASE 550				
2. NO SUSPECTED RELEASE		0		***************************************
LR =	550	0		

Page: 11

0 0

Drinking Water Threat Targets

TARGETS	Suspected Release	No Suspected Release	References
3. Determine the water body type, flow (if applicable), and number of people served by each drinking water intake.			
4. PRIMARY TARGET POPULATION 0 person(s)	0		
5. SECONDARY TARGET POPULATION Are any intakes part of a blended system? (y/n): N	0	0	
6. NEAREST INTAKE	0	0	
7. RESOURCES	5	0	
T =	5	0	

#### Drinking Water Threat Target Populations

Intake Name	Primary (y/n)	Water Body Type/Flow	Population Served	Ref.	Value
None			·		
-					
			·		

Total Primary Target Population Value
Total Secondary Target Population Value
\*\*\* Note: Maximum of 6 Intakes Are Printed \*\*\*

Appor cronmenc	Documentation for a bi	ended byscem		
	·			
		,		
		•		
	·		•	
			•	

### Human Food Chain Threat Targets

TARGETS	Suspected Release	No Suspected Release	References
8. Determine the water body type and flow for each fishery within the target limit.			
9. PRIMARY FISHERIES	0		
10. SECONDARY FISHERIES	0	0	
T =	0	0	

#### Human Food Chain Threat Targets

Fishery Name	Primary (y/n)	Water Body Type/Flow	Ref.	Value
None				,
		·		,
		·		
Total Primary Fisheries Value				

Total Secondary Fisheries Value \*\*\* Note: Maximum of 6 Fisheries Are Printed \*\*\*

#### Environmental Threat Targets

TARGETS	Suspected Release	No Suspected Release	References
11. Determine the water body type and flow (if applicable) for each sensitive environment.			
12. PRIMARY SENSITIVE ENVIRONMENTS	300		
13. SECONDARY SENSITIVE ENVIRONS.	0	0	
T =	300	. 0	

#### Environmental Threat Targets

Sensitive Environment Name	Primary (y/n)	Water Body Type/Flow	Ref.	Value
1 WETLAND	Y	primary sens. envir.		300
Total Primary Sensitive	Environme	ents Value		300

Total Primary Sensitive Environments Value
Total Secondary Sensitive Environments Value
\*\*\* Note: Maximum of 6 Sensitive Environments Are Printed \*\*\*

300

Page: 15

### Surface Water Pathway Threat Scores

Threat	Likelihood of Release(LR) Score		Pathway Waste Characteristics (WC) Score	Threat Score LR x T x WC / 82,500
Drinking Water	550	5	32	1
Human Food Chain	550	. 0	32	0
Environmental	550	300	32	60

SURFACE WATER PATHWAY SCORE:

61

HAMERSLEY MANUFACTURING CO. LANDFIL - 10/01/98	
Soil Exposure Pathway Criteria List Resident Population	
Is any residence, school, or daycare facility on or within 200 feet of an area of suspected contamination? (y/n/u)	N.
Is any residence, school, or daycare facility located on adjacent land previously owned or leased by the site owner/operator? (y/n/u)	N
Is there a migration route that might spread hazardous substances near residences, schools, or daycare facilities? (y/n/u)	N
Have onsite or adjacent residents or students reported adverse health effects, exclusive of apparent drinking water or air contamination problems? $(y/n/u)$	N
Does any neighboring property warrant sampling? (y/n/u)	N
Other criteria? (y/n) N	
RESIDENT POPULATION IDENTIFIED? (y/n)	N
Summarize the rationale for Resident Population:	
	,

Page: 17

SOIL EXPOSURE PATE	HWAY SCORESHEET	?s		
Pathway Characteristics				Ref.
Do any people live on or within of areas of suspected contamin			No	
Do any people attend school or of areas of suspected contamin	daycare on or w nation? (y/n)	vithin 200 ft	No	
Is the facility active? (y/n):			No	
		-	1	
LIKELIHOOD OF EXPOSURE	Suspected Contamination	References		
1. SUSPECTED CONTAMINATION LE =	550			
Targets			, 1	
2. RESIDENT POPULATION 0 resident(s) 0 school/daycare student(s)	0			
3. RESIDENT INDIVIDUAL	0 .			
4. WORKERS None	0			
5. TERRES. SENSITIVE ENVIRONMENTS	0			
6. RESOURCES	5			
T =	5			
WASTE CHARACTERISTICS WC =	18			
RESIDENT POPULATION THREAT SCORE:	1			
NEARBY POPULATION THREAT SCORE:	1			
Population Within 1 Mile: 1 - 10,	000	•		

SOIL EXPOSURE PATHWAY SCORE:

Page: 18

Soil Exposure Pathway Terrestrial Sensitive Environments

Terrestrial Sensitive Environment Name	Reference	Value
None		
	·	
·		
Total Terrestrial Sensitive Environm *** Note: Maximum of 7 Sensitive Environments Are Pri		

HAMERSLEY MANUFACTURING CO. LANDFIL - 10/01/98	
Air Pathway Criteria List Suspected Release	
Are odors currently reported? (y/n/u)	N
Has release of a hazardous substance to the air been directly observed? (y/n/u)	N
Are there reports of adverse health effects (e.g., headaches, nausea, dizziness) potentially resulting from migration of hazardous substances through the air? (y/n/u)	N
Does analytical/circumstantial evidence suggest release to air? (y/n/u)	N
Other criteria? (y/n) N	
SUSPECTED RELEASE? (y/n)	N
Summarize the rationale for Suspected Release:	

Page: 20

18

32

#### AIR PATHWAY SCORESHEETS

Ref.
rences
rences
**************************************

WC =

WASTE CHARACTERISTICS

AIR PATHWAY SCORE:

Page: 21

Air Pathway Secondary Target Populations

Distance Categories	Population	References	Value
Onsite	0 .		0
Greater than 0 to 1/4 mile	1765		41
Greater than 1/4 to 1/2 mile	4204		28
Greater than 1/2 to 1 mile	30008		83
Greater than 1 to 2 miles	116567	•	83
Greater than 2 to 3 miles	79439		12
Greater than 3 to 4 miles	132013	·	23
	Total Secondary Popula	ation Value	270

Page: 22

Air Pathway Primary Sensitive Environments

Sensitive Environment Name	Reference	Value			
None					
	•				
Total Primary Sensitive Environments Value  *** Note : Maximum of 7 Sensitive Environments Are Printed***					
Air Pathway Secondary Sensitive Environments	IIIceu				

Sensitive Environment Name	Distance	Reference	Valu
None			
			·
			•
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

Total Secondary Sensitive Environments Value

SITE SCORE CALCULATION	SCORE
GROUND WATER PATHWAY SCORE:	100
SURFACE WATER PATHWAY SCORE:	61
SOIL EXPOSURE PATHWAY SCORE:	2
AIR PATHWAY SCORE:	32
SITE SCORE:	61

### SUMMARY

1. Is there a high possibility of a threat to any nearby drinking water well(s) by migration of a hazardous substance in ground water?	No
If yes, identify the well(s).	
If yes, how many people are served by the threatened well(s)? 0	
2. Is there a high possibility of a threat to any of the following by hazardous substance migration in surface water?	<b></b>
222	No No
C. Sensitive environment (wetland, critical habitat, others)	No
If yes, identity the target(s).	
3. Is there a high possibility of an area of surficial contamination within 200 feet of any residence, school, or daycare facility?	No
If yes, identify the properties and estimate the associated population	on(s
4. Are there public health concerns at this site that are not addressed by PA scoring considerations?	No
If yes, explain:	

Page: 25

REFERENCE LIST

### Page: 1

### PA-Score 2.1 Scoresheets HAMERSLEY MANUFACTURING CO. LANDFIL - 10/01/98

OMB Approval Number: 2050-0095 Approved for Use Through: 4/95

							Wi		
DOMENMET	AT HAGADO	OUG		•		ID	ENTIF	ICATIO	1
POTENTIAL HAZARDOUS					State:	CER	CLIS N	umber:	
WASTE S	ITE				_	 	<u> </u>		
PRELIMINARY ASSESSMENT FORM						CERCLIS Discovery Date: 10/1/98			
1. General Site Information									
Name: HAMERSLEY MANUFACTURING CO. LANDFIL					Addre AVENUI				
City: WALLINGTON		:	State: NJ	Zip Code: 07057		County BERGEN		Co. Code: 02	Cong. Dist: 09
Latitude: Longitude: Approx. 40° 51' 43.0" 74° 6' 3.0"			Approx.	Area of Site: Status of Site: 7 acres Inactive					
2. Owner/Opera	or Infor	mation							
Owner: FARMLAND DAIRY				Operator: FARMLAND DAIRY					
Street Address: 520 MAIN AVENUE				Street Address: SAME					
City: WALLINGTON			City:						
State: Zip Co		Telephone: 973-777-2500		State:	Zip	Code:	Tele	phone:	
Type of Ownership: Private					y Identi Program				

DOMENTE AT HAZADDOUS			IDENTIFICATION				
POTENTIAL HAZARDOUS WASTE SITE				State: NJ	CERCLIS	Number:	
PRELIMINARY ASSESSMENT FORM					Discovery 10/1/98	y Date:	
3. Site Evaluator Inf	formation						
Name of Evaluator: NICK SODANO	Agency/Organizati NJDEP/BEMQA					Date Pro 10/1/9	
Street Address: 300 HORIZON CENTER,				City: TRENTON			State: NJ
Name of EPA or State Agency Contact: KENNETH J. KLOO			Telephone: 609-584-4280				
Street Address: SAME AS ABOVE			Cit	y:			State:
4. Site Disposition	for EPA u	use only	)				
Emergency Response/Removal Assessment Recommendation: No Date: 10/1/98	-	ndation: Priority 10/1/98	SI	Name: NICK SO Position HSMS 2	DDANO		

r	<u> </u>					
POTENTIAL HAZARDOUS				IDENTIFICATION		
				State:	CERCLIS Number:	
WASTE SITE						
PRELIMINARY ASSESSMENT	FORM				Discovery Date: 10/1/98	
5. General Site Characteristic	cs					
Predominant Land Uses Within Site Sett: 1 Mile of Site: Industrial Urban		ting: Year Be		rs of Open	ration: Year: 1918	
Commercial Residential	oz san		Ending Year:		r: 1961	
Type of Site Operations:  Manufacturing  Other Manufacturing				e Generato Onsite	ed:	
Other Manufacturing			Waste Deposition Authorize By: Present Owner			
	•			e Accessil Yes	ble to the Public	
			School	ance to No ol, or Wo 1000 Fee		
6. Waste Characteristics Info	rmation					
Source Type Quantity Landfill 7.00e+00	Tier acres A		al Ty anics	pes of Wa	ste:	
	•					
		ı.				
		Physic Liq		tate of W	aste as Deposited	
Tier Legend C = Constituent W = Wastes V = Volume A = Area	tream					

POTENTIAL HAZARDO	ID	IDENTIFICATION		
WASTE SITE	State:	CERCLIS	Number:	
PRELIMINARY ASSES		Discovery 10/1/98	Date:	
7. Ground Water Pathway				
Is Ground Water Used for Drinking Water Within 4 Miles: Yes  Type of Ground Water Wells Within 4 Miles: Municipal	Is There a Suspected Release to Ground Water: Yes  Have Primary Target Drinking Water Wells Been Identified: Yes	Population Ground Works From:		by
Depth to Shallowest Aquifer: 35 Feet	Primary Target Population: 11500  Nearest Designated	>1 - 2	Miles Miles	0
Karst Terrain/Aquifer Present: No	Wellhead Protection Area: None within 4 Miles	>3 <b>-</b> 4 Total	Miles	0

### Page: 5

POTENTIAL HAZARDOUS		IDENTIFICATION			
WASTE SITE			CERCLIS Number:		
PRELIMINARY ASSESSMENT FORM			CERCLIS Discovery Date: 10/1/98		
8. Surface Water Pathway			Part 1 of 4		
Type of Surface Water Draining Site and 15 Miles Downstream: Source to Sur River		rland Distance From Any rface Water: 0 Feet 0.0 Miles			
			·		
Is there a Suspected Release to Surface Water: Yes	Site is Located in: >10 yr - 100 yr floodplai				
8. Surface Water Pathway			Part 2 of 4		
Drinking Water Intakes Along the S	Surface Water Mign	cation Pa	th: No		
Have Primary Target Drinking Water	r Intakes Been Ide	entified:	No		
Secondary Target Drinking Water Ir None	ntakes:				

Page: (

### PA-Score 2.1 Scoresheets HAMERSLEY MANUFACTURING CO. LANDFIL - 10/01/98

POTENTIAL HAZARDOUS

WASTE SITE

PRELIMINARY ASSESSMENT FORM

CERCLIS Discovery Date: 10/1/98

#### 8. Surface Water Pathway

Part 3 of 4

Fisheries Located Along the Surface Water Migration Path: No

Have Primary Target Fisheries Been Identified: No

Secondary Target Fisheries:
None

#### 8. Surface Water Pathway

Part 4 of 4

Wetlands Located Along the Surface Water Migration Path? (y/n) Yes Have Primary Target Wetlands Been Identified? (y/n) No Secondary Target Wetlands:

None

Other Sensitive Environments Along the Surface Water Migration Path: Yes

Have Primary Target Sensitive Environments Been Identified: Yes

Secondary Target Sensitive Environments:
None

POTENTIAL HAZARDOUS

WASTE SITE

PRELIMINARY ASSESSMENT FORM

CERCLIS Discovery Date: 10/1/98

#### 9. Soil Exposure Pathway

Are People Occupying Residences or Attending School or Daycare on or Within 200 Feet of Areas of Known or Suspected Contamination: No

Number of Workers Onsite:

None

Have Terrestrial Sensitive Environments Been Identified on or Within 200 Feet of Areas of Known or Suspected Contamination: No

#### 10. Air Pathway

Is There a Suspected Release to Air: No
Wetlands Located
Within 4 Miles of the Site: No
Other Sensitive Environments Located
Within 4 Miles of the Site: No

Sensitive Environments Within 1/2 Mile of the Site: None